IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 5258 OF 2004

Suhail Ibrahim Shaikh ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr. Sultan Khan i/by Mr. M. Amin H. Solkar, for the Applicant.

Mr. A.S. Gadkari, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

20th December 2004

P.C.:

. Heard Counsel for the parties. Having regard to the nature of offence and the fact that investigation is complete and charge sheet has been filed, the Applicant deserves to be enlarged on bail. The learned A.P.P. has brought to my notice the ground which has weighed with the Court below in declining the bail to the present Applicant. That ground, as rightly contended by the Applicant, can be taken care of if strict

condition is imposed for releasing the Applicant on bail. In the circumstances, Applicant is granted bail on the following terms.

(a) The Applicant be released on bail in connection with CR.No. 124/04 registered with RCF Police Station, Mumbai, on executing personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) with one or two local sureties in the like amount. The requirement of local surety is essential as the prosecution apprehends that the Applicant has no fixed place of residence.

(b) The Applicant shall report to the investigating officer once in fortnight on Saturday between 10 a.m. to 1 p.m.

(c) The Applicant shall not influence the prosecution witnesses nor shall tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner whatsoever.

(d) The Applicant shall co-operate for early disposal of the trial. If the

trial Court is of the opinion that the Applicant is in any manner responsible for the delay in early disposal of the trial, that fact be recorded in the roznama, which can be a ground for cancellation of bail.

. Application disposed of on the above terms.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)